arXiv:0807.2166v3 [math.AC] 31 Jul 2008

Stanley depth of monomial ideals in three variables

Mircea Cimpoeaş

Abstract

We show that depth$(S/I) = 0$ if and only if sdepth$(S/I) = 0$, where $I \subset S = K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ is a monomial ideal. We give an algorithm to compute the Stanley depth of S/I, where $I \subset S = K[x_1,x_2,x_3]$ is a monomial ideal. Also, we prove that a monomial ideal $I \subset K[x_1,x_2,x_3]$ minimally generated by three monomials has sdepth$(I) = 2$.

Keywords: Stanley depth, monomial ideal.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 13H10, Secondary: 13P10.

Introduction

Let K be a field and $S = K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ the polynomial ring over K. Let $J \subset I \subset S$ be two monomial ideals. A Stanley decomposition of I/J is a decomposition $\mathcal{D} : I/J = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r u_iK[Z_i]$ as K-vector space, where $u_i \in S$ are monomials and $Z_i \subset \{x_1,\dots,x_n\}$. We denote sdepth$(\mathcal{D}) = min_{i=1}^r|Z_i|$ and sdepth$(I/J) = max\{$sdepth$(\mathcal{D})|\ \mathcal{D}$ is a Stanley decomposition of $I/J\}$. The number sdepth(I/J) is called the *Stanley depth* of I/J. Herzog, Vladoiu and Zheng show in [7] that this invariant can be computed in a finite number of steps. There are two important particular cases. If $I \subset S$ is a monomial ideal, we are interested in computing sdepth(S/I) and sdepth(I). There are some papers regarding this problem, like [7],[10],[9], [11] and [5]. Stanley's conjecture says that sdepth$(S/I) \geq$ depth(S/I), or in the general case, sdepth$(M) \geq$ depth(M), where M is a finitely generated multigraded S-module. The Stanley conjecture for S/I was proved for $n \leq 5$ and in other special cases, but it remains open in the general case. See for instance, [4], [6], [8], [1] and [3].

Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal. We assume that $G(I) = \{v_1,\dots,v_m\}$, where $G(I)$ is the set of minimal monomial generators of I. We denote $g(I) = |G(I)|$, the number of minimal generators of I. Let $v = GCD(u|\ u \in G(I))$. It follows that $I = vI'$, where $I' = (I : v)$. For a monomial $u \in S$, we denote $supp(u) = \{x_i :\ \ x_i|u\}$. We denote $supp(I) = \{x_i :\ x_i|u$ for some $u \in G(I)\}$. We denote $c(I) = |supp(I')|$. In the first section, we prove results regarding some relations between sdepth(S/I), sdepth(I), $g(I)$ and $c(I)$. We prove that *depth*$(S/I) = 0$ if and only if sdepth$(S/I) = 0$, see Corollary 1.6. Thus, the Stanley's conjecture is true for S/I, when sdepth$(S/I) = 0$. In the second section, we give an algorithm to compute sdepth(S/I), where $I \subset S = K[x_1,x_2,x_3]$ is a monomial ideal, see Theorem 2.3. We prove that a monomial ideal $I \subset K[x_1,x_2,x_3]$ minimally generated by three monomials has sdepth$(I) = 2$, see Theorem 2.4. Also, if $I \subset K[x_1,x_2,x_3]$ is saturated, we prove that sdepth$(I) \geq 2$, see Proposition 2.8.

Aknowledgements. The author would like to express his gratitude to the organizers of PRAGMATIC 2008, Catania, Italy and especially to Professor Jurgen Herzog.

---

[1] This paper was supported by CNCSIS, ID-PCE, 51/2007

1 Preliminaries results

Firstly, we recall the following result of Herzog, Vladoiu and Zheng.

Lemma 1.1. *[7, Lemma 3.6] Let* $J \subset I$ *be monomial ideals of* $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$*, and let* $T = S[x_{n+1}]$*. Then* $\operatorname{sdepth}(IT/JT) = \operatorname{sdepth}(I/J) + 1$.

For any monomial ideal $J \subset S$, we denote J^c the K-vector space spanned by all the monomials not contained in J. With this notation, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 1.2. *Let* $I \subset S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *be a monomial ideal and* $u \in S$ *a monomial. Then*

$$I = ((u)^c \cap I) \oplus u(I : u).$$

Also, $I^c = (v)^c \oplus v(I')^c$*, where* $v = GCD(u|\ u \in G(I))$ *and* $I' = (I : v)$.

Proof. We have $I = I \cap S = I \cap ((u)^c \oplus (u)) \cap I = (u)^c \cap I) \oplus ((u) \cap I)$. In order to complete the proof, it is enough to show that $((u) \cap I) = u(I : u)$. Indeed, if $v \in (u) \cap I$ is a monomial, then $v = uw$ for some monomial $w \in S$. Moreover, since $uw = v \in I$ it follows that $w \in (I : u)$ and thus $v \in u(I : u)$. The inclusion $((u) \cap I) \supseteq u(I : u)$ is similar.

We have $I^c = I^c \cap S = I^c \cap ((v)^c \oplus (v)) = (I^c \cap (v)^c) \oplus (I^c \cap (v)) = (v)^c \oplus v(I')^c$. ☐

Proposition 1.3. *Let* $I \subset S$ *be a monomial ideal which is not principal. Then:*
(1) $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) = \operatorname{sdepth}(S/I')$.
(2) $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) = \operatorname{sdepth}(I')$.
(3) $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) \geq n - c(I)$.
(4) $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) \geq n - c(I) + 1$.
(5) $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) \geq n - g(I)$.
(6) $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) \geq \max\{1, n - g(I) + 1\}$.

Proof. (1) By Lemma 1.2, $S/I = I^c = (v)^c \oplus vI'^c$, where $v = GCD(u|\ u \in G(I))$ and $I' = (I : v)$. Given a Stanley decomposition $S/I' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r u_i' K[Z_i]$, then $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r v u_i' K[Z_i]$ is a Stanley decomposition of $v(I'^c)$. On the other hand, one can easily give a Stanley decomposition $\mathcal{D}$ of $(v)^c$ with *sdepth*$(\mathcal{D}) = n-1$. Thus, we obtain a Stanley decomposition of S/I with its Stanley depth $\geq \operatorname{sdepth}(S/I')$. It follows that $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \operatorname{sdepth}(S/I')$.

In order to prove the converse inequality, we give $S/I = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r u_i K[Z_i]$ a Stanley decomposition of S/I. It follows that $v(I'^c) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r (u_i K[Z_i] \cap v(I'^c)) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r (u_i K[Z_i] \cap (v))$. We claim that $u_i K[Z_i] \cap (v) \neq (0)$ implies $LCM(u_i, v) \in u_i K[Z_i]$.

Indeed, if $LCM(u_i, v) \notin u_i K[Z_i]$ it follows that $v/GCD(u_i, v) \notin K[Z_i]$ and therefore, there exists $x_j | v/GCD(u_i, v)$ such that $x_j \notin Z_i$. Thus, v cannot divide any monomial of the form $u_i y$, where $y \in K[Z_i]$ and therefore $u_i K[Z_i] \cap (v) = (0)$, a contradiction. We have proved that $LCM(u_i, v) \in u_i K[Z_i]$. This implies $LCM(u_i, v)K[Z_i] \subset u_i K[Z_i]$. Obviously, $LCM(u_i, v)K[Z_i] \subset (v)$ and thus $LCM(u_i, v)K[Z_i] \subset u_i K[Z_i] \cap (v)$.

On the other hand, if $u \in u_iK[Z_i] \cap (v)$ is a monomial, it follows that $u_i|u$ and $v|u$ and therefore, $LCM(u_i, v)|u$. Since $u \in u_iK[Z_i]$, it follows that $u = u_i \cdot w_i$, where $supp(w_i) \subset Z_i$. Moreover, $supp(u/LCM(u_i, v)) \subset Z_i$ and thus, $u \in LCM(u_i, v)K[Z_i]$. We obtain $LCM(u_i, v)K[Z_i] = u_iK[Z_i] \cap (v)$. In conclusion,

$$vI'^c = \bigoplus_{(v)\cap u_iK[Z_i]\neq 0} LCM(u_i, v)K[Z_i] \; so \; I'^c = \bigoplus_{(v)\cap u_iK[Z_i]\neq 0} \frac{u_i}{GCD(u_i, v)}K[Z_i].$$

It follows that $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I') \geq \operatorname{sdepth}(S/I)$, as required.

(2) Suppose $I = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r u_iK[Z_i]$ is a Stanley decomposition for I. One can easily show that $I' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r u_i/vK[Z_i]$ is a Stanley decomposition for I', and thus $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) \leq sdepth(I')$. Conversely, if $I' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \bar{u}_iK[Z_i]$ is a Stanley decomposition of I' it follows that $I = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \bar{u}_ivK[Z_i]$ is a Stanley decomposition of I.

(3) By (1), we can assume that $I' = I$. By reordering the variables, we can assume that $I \subset (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m)$, where $m = c(I)$. We write $I = (I \cap K[x_1, \ldots, x_m])S$. Lemma 1.1 implies $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) = \operatorname{sdepth}(K[x_1, \ldots, x_m]/(I \cap K[x_1, \ldots, x_m])) + n - m \geq n - m$.

(4) The proof is similar with (3).

(5) In order to prove, we use a strategy similar with the Janet's algorithm, see [2]. As in the proof of [7, Proposition 3.4], we use induction on $n \geq 1$. If $n = 1$ there is nothing to prove. If $m = 1$, I is principal and thus $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) = n - 1$. Suppose $n > 1$ and $m > 1$. Let $q = deg_{x_n}(I) := \max\{j : x_n^j|u \text{ for some } u \in G(I)\}$. For all $j \leq q$, we denote I_j the monomial ideal in $S' = K[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}]$ such that $I \cap x_n^jS' = x_n^jI_j$. Note that $g(I_j) < g(I)$ for all $j < q$ and $g(I_q) \leq g(I)$. We have

$$S/I = S'/I_0 \oplus x_n(S'/I_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus x_n^{q-1}(S'/I_{q-1}) \oplus x_n^q(S'/I_q)[x_n].$$

It follows that $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \min\{\operatorname{sdepth}(S'/I_j), j < q, \operatorname{sdepth}(S'/I_q) + 1\}$. By induction hypothesis, it follows that $\operatorname{sdepth}(S'/I_j) \geq n - 1 - q(I_j) \geq n - 1 - (m - 1) = n - m$ for all $j < q$. Also, $\operatorname{sdepth}(S'/I_q) \geq n - 1 - g(I_q) \geq n - 1 - m$. This complete the proof.

(6) See [7, Proposition 3.4]. $\square$

Proposition 1.4. *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal which is not principal with $c(I) = 2$ or $g(I) = 2$. Then* $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) = n - 1$ *and* $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) = n - 2$.

Proof. If $c(I) = 2$, then, by 1.3(4), it follows that $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) \geq n - c(I) + 1 = n - 1$. Similarly, $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) \geq n - 1$ if $g(I) = 2$. But $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) < n$, otherwise, I is principal.

Also, by 1.3, $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) \geq n-2$ if $c(I) = 2$ or $g(I) = 2$. We consider the case $c(I) = 2$. By 1.3(2), we can assume that $I = I'$ and $supp(I) = \{x_1, x_2\}$. Since $GCD(u| \; u \in G(I)) = 1$, we can assume that $x_1^a \in G(I)$ for some positive integer a. Let $w = x_1^{a-1}$. Obviously, $w \notin I$, but $x_1w \in I$ and $x_2^kw \in I$ for $k \gg 0$. It follows that w is contained in a Staley space of S/I with dimension $\leq n - 2$ and thus $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) = n - 2$.

We consider now the case $g(I) = 2$. Suppose $I = (u_1, u_2)$. By 1.3(3), we can assume $GCD(u_1, u_2) = 1$. Therefore, I is a complete intersection and by [6, Proposition 1.2] or [10, Corollary 1.4], it follows that $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) = n - 2$. $\square$

Theorem 1.5. *Let* $I \subset S$ *be a monomial ideal. Then* sdepth$(S/I) = 0$ *if and only if* $I \neq I^{sat}$*, where* $I^{sat} = \bigcup_{k\geq 1}(I : (x_1, \dots, x_n)^k)$ *is the* saturation *of* I*.*

Proof. Suppose $I \neq I^{sat}$ and take $u \in I^{sat} \setminus I$ a monomial. Let $S/I = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r u_i K[Z_i]$ be a Stanley decomposition of S/I. Since $u \notin I$ it follows that $u \in u_i K[Z_i]$ for some $i \in [r]$. If $x_j \in Z_i$, then $x_j^k u \in u_i K[Z_i] \subset I^c$ for any $k > 0$, a contradiction. Therefore, $u = u_i$ and $Z = \emptyset$ and thus sdepth$(S/I) = 0$.

In order to prove the converse, we use induction on $n \geq 1$. The case $n = 1$ is trivial. Suppose $n > 1$. We use the decomposition of S/I given by the Janet's algorithm, see [2]. Let $q = deg_{x_n}(I) := \max\{j : \ x_n^j | u \text{ for some } u \in G(I)\}$. For all $j \leq q$, we denote I_j the monomial ideal in $S' = K[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ such that $I \cap x_n^j S' = x_n^j I_j$. With these notation, we have:

$$(*)\ S/I = S'/I_0 \oplus x_n(S'/I_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus x_n^{q-1}(S'/I_{q-1}) \oplus x_n^q(S'/I_q)[x_n].$$

Since sdepth$(S/I) = 0$, it follows that sdepth$(S'/I_j) = 0$ for some $j < q$, otherwise, from the above decomposition it will follow that sdepth$(S/I) > 0$, a contradiction. By induction hypothesis, there exists a monomial $u \in I_j^{sat} \setminus I_j$. We consider the monomial $w = x_n^j u$. Since $u \in I_j^{sat} \setminus I_j$ it follows that $w \notin I$ and $x_j^k w \in I$ for $k \gg 0$ and $j < n$. If $x_n^k u \in I$ for some $k \gg 0$ we are done.

Now, suppose that for any $0 \leq j \leq q$ and for any monomial $u \in I_j^{sat} \setminus I_j$ and for any positive integer k it follows that $x_n^k u \notin I$. For any $0 \leq j \leq q$, we denote A_j the set of monomials which are in $I_j^{sat} \setminus I_j$. By our assumption, we have $A_0 \subseteq A_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq A_q$. Indeed, if $u \in A_j$ for some $j < q$, then $x_n^{j+1} u \notin I$ and so $u \notin I_{j+1}$. On the other hand, $I_j \subset I_{j+1}$ and thus $u \in I_{j+1}^{sat}$. Therefore $u \in A_{j+1}$ and thus $A_j \subset A_{j+1}$.

Since $(I_j^{sat})^{sat} = I_j^{sat}$, by induction hypothesis, it follows that sdepth$(S'/I_j^{sat}) \geq 1$. Suppose $\mathcal{D}_j : S'/I_j^{sat} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r_j} u_{ij} K[Z_{ij}]$ is a Stanley decomposition with sdepth$(\mathcal{D}_j) \geq 1$. It follows that $S'/I_j = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r_j} u_{ij} K[Z_{ij}] \oplus \bigoplus_{u \in A_j} uK$ is a Stanley decomposition of S'/I_j. By $(*)$, it follows that

$$S/I = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{q-1}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r_j} x_n^j u_{ij} K[Z_{ij}] \oplus \bigoplus_{u \in A_j} x_n^j uK) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r_q}(x_n^q u_{iq} K[Z_{iq}, x_n] \oplus \bigoplus_{u \in A_q} x_n^q uK[x_n]).$$

Note that $A_0 \subseteq A_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq A_q$ implies

$$\bigoplus_{j=0}^{q-1}(\bigoplus_{u \in A_j} x_n^j uK) \oplus \bigoplus_{u \in A_q} x_n^q uK[x_n] = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{q} \bigoplus_{u \in A_j \setminus A_{j-1}} x_n^j uK[x_n],$$

where $A_{-1} = \emptyset$, and thus

$$S/I = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{q-1} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r_j} x_n^j u_{ij} K[Z_{ij}] \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r_q} x_n^q u_{iq} K[Z_{iq}, x_n] \oplus \bigoplus_{j=0}^{q} \bigoplus_{u \in A_j \setminus A_{j-1}} x_n^j uK[x_n],$$

is a Stanley decomposition of S/I and therefore, sdepth$(S/I) > 0$, a contradiction. □

Corollary 1.6. *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal. Then* $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) = 0$ *if and only if* $\operatorname{depth}(S/I) = 0$. *In particular,* $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) = 0$ *if and only if* $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I^k) = 0$, *where* $k \geq 1$.

Proof. Since I is a monomial ideal, it follows that $\operatorname{depth}(S/I) = 0$ if and only if $(I : (x_1, \ldots, x_n)) \neq I$ which is equivalent, by the previous theorem, with $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) = 0$. For the second assertion, note that $\operatorname{depth}(S/I) = 0$ if and only if $\operatorname{depth}(S/I^k) = 0$, where $k \geq 1$. $\square$

Proposition 1.7. *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal with $c(I) = n$ and $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \subset \sqrt{I}$. Then* $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) = 0$.

Proof. Since $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \subset \sqrt{I}$ it follows that $x_j^{a_j} \in I$ for some positive integers a_j, where $j \in [n-1]$. Since $c(I) = n$ it follows that there exists a monomial $u \in G(I)$ with $x_n | u$. If $u = x_n^{a_n}$ there is nothing to prove, since, in this case, I is Artinian. Suppose this is not the case. We consider $w = u/x_n$. Obviously, $x_j^{a_j} w \in I$ for any $j \in [n]$, where $a_n := 1$. Thus, for any Stanley decomposition of S/I, the monomial w lays in a Stanley space of dimension 0 and therefore $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) = 0$. $\square$

2 Stanley depth for monomial ideals in three variables

Proposition 2.1. *Let $I \subset K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ be a monomial ideal with $g(I) = 3$ and $c(I) = 3$.*

(1) If $\sqrt{I} \supsetneq (x_1, x_2)$ then $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) = 0$.

(2) If $\sqrt{I} = (x_1, x_2x_3)$ and $I = (x_1^{a_1}, x_2^{b_1}x_3^{c_1}, x_1^{a_2}x_2^{b_2}x_3^{c_2})$ then $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) = 0$ *if and only if $b_1 > b_2$ and $c_1 < c_2$ or $b_1 < b_2$ and $c_1 > c_2$. Otherwise,* $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) = 1$.

(3) If $\sqrt{I} = (x_1x_2, x_1x_3, x_2x_3)$ and $I = (x_1^{a_1}x_2^{b_1}, x_1^{a_2}x_3^{c_1}, x_2^{b_2}x_3^{c_2})$ for some positive integers $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2$, then $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) = 1$ *if and only if $a_2 \leq a_1 - 1, b_1 \leq b_2 - 1$ and $c_2 \leq c_1 - 1$ or $a_1 \leq a_2 - 1, b_2 \leq b_1 - 1$ and $c_1 \leq c_2 - 1$.*

Proof. (1) Is a particular case of Proposition 1.7.

(2) Suppose $b_1 < b_2$ and $c_1 > c_2$. We consider $w = x_1^{a_2}x_2^{b_1}x_3^{c_2}$. Obviously, $w \notin I$, but $x_1^{a_1-a_2}w, x_2^{b_2-b_1}w, x_3^{c_1-c_2}w \in I$. Therefore, the monomial w lays in a Stanley space of S/I of dimension 0 and thus $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) = 0$. The case $b_1 > b_2$ and $c_1 < c_2$ is similar.

Since $x_1^{a_1}, x_2^{b_1}x_3^{c_1}, x_1^{a_2}x_2^{b_2}x_3^{c_2}$ are the minimal generators of I it follows that $a_1 > a_2$ and $b_1 > b_2$ or $c_1 > c_2$. It is enough to consider the case $b_1 > b_2$ and $c_1 \geq c_2$. By a straightforward computation, $(I : (x_1, x_2, x_3)) = I$, and therefore, by Theorem 1.5, $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) = 1$.

(3) We have $(I : x_1) = (x_1^{a_1-1}x_2^{b_1}, x_1^{a_2-1}x_3^{c_1}, x_2^{b_2}x_3^{c_2})$, $(I : x_2) = (x_1^{a_1}x_2^{b_1-1}, x_1^{a_2}x_3^{c_1}, x_2^{b_2-1}x_3^{c_2})$ and $(I : x_3) = (x_1^{a_1}x_2^{b_1}, x_1^{a_2}x_3^{c_1-1}, x_2^{b_2}x_3^{c_2-1})$. Since $(I : (x_1, x_2, x_3)) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{3}(I : x_i)$, we get

$$(I : (x_1, x_2, x_3)) = I + (x_1^{a_1-1}x_2^{b_1}, x_1^{a_2-1}x_3^{c_1}) \cap (x_1^{a_1}x_2^{b_1-1}, x_2^{b_2-1}x_3^{c_2}) \cap (x_1^{a_2}x_3^{c_1-1}, x_2^{b_2}x_3^{c_2-1}) =$$

$$= I + (x_1^{a_1-1}x_2^{max\{b_1,b_2-1\}}x_3^{c_2}, x_1^{max\{a_1,a_2-1\}}x_2^{b_1-1}x_3^{c_1}, x_1^{a_2-1}x_2^{b_2-1}x_3^{max\{c_1,c_2\}}) \cap (x_1^{a_2}x_3^{c_1-1}, x_2^{b_2}x_3^{c_2-1})$$

$$= I + (x_1^{max\{a_1-1,a_2\}}x_2^{\max\{b_1,b_2-1\}}x_3^{\max\{c_1-1,c_2\}}, x_1^{max\{a_1,a_2-1\}}x_2^{max\{b_1-1,b_2\}}x_3^{max\{c_1,c_2-1\}}).$$

Note that $x_1^{max\{a_1-1,a_2\}}x_2^{\max\{b_1,b_2-1\}}x_3^{\max\{c_1-1,c_2\}} \in I$ if and only if $a_2 \leq a_1-1, b_1 \leq b_2-1$ and $c_2 \leq c_1-1$. Also, $x_1^{max\{a_1,a_2-1\}}x_2^{max\{b_1-1,b_2\}}x_3^{max\{c_1,c_2-1\}} \in I$ if and only if $a_1 \leq a_2-1, b_2 \leq b_1-1$ and $c_1 \leq c_2-1$. Thus, by Theorem 1.5, we are done. $\square$

Example 2.2. *Let* $I = (x_1^2x_2, x_2^2x_3, x_3^2x_1)$ *and* $J = (I, x_1x_2x_3)$. *One can easyly see that* $J = (I : (x_1,x_2,x_3)) = (J : (x_1,x_2,x_3))$. *Thus, by* 1.5, $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) = 0$ *and* $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/J) = 1$.

This proposition and the results of the first section, give an algorithm to compute the $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I)$, where $I \subset S = K[x_1,x_2,x_3]$ is a monomial ideal.

Theorem 2.3. *Let* $I \subset S = K[x_1,x_2,x_3]$ *be a monomial ideal.*

(a) If $g(I) = 1$ *then* $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) = 2$. *If* $g(I) = 2$ *then* $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) = 1$.

(b) If $g(I) \geq 3$ *and* $c(I) = 2$ *then* $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) = 1$.

(c) If $g(I) = 3$ *and* $c(I) = 3$, *then* I' *satisfies the hypothesis of Proposition* 2.1 *and* $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) = \operatorname{sdepth}(S/I')$.

(d) If $g(I) =: m > 3$ *and* $c(I) = 3$, *for every subset* $\sigma \subset [m]$ *with* $|\sigma| = 3$ *we consider the ideal* $I_\sigma = (u_{\sigma(1)}, u_{\sigma(2)}, u_{\sigma(3)})$, *where* $G(I) = \{u_1,\ldots,u_m\}$. *If* $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I_\sigma) = 1$ *for all* σ *then* $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) = 1$. *Otherwise, if* $I \neq (I : (x_1,x_2,x_3))$ *then* $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) = 0$.

Proof. (a,b) If $g(I) = 1$ then I is principal, and therefore $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) = 2$. If $g(I) = 2$ or $c(I) = 2$, by Proposition 1.4, it follows that $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) = 1$.

(c) I' is a monomial ideal minimally generated by three monomials u_1,u_2,u_3 with $GCD(u_1,u_2,u_3) = 1$. One can easily see that $\sqrt{I'}$ must be in one of the three cases of Proposition 2.1. By Proposition 1.3(1), $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) = \operatorname{sdepth}(S/I')$.

(d) Assume $I \neq I^{sat}$ and choose a monomial $w \in (I : (x_1,x_2,x_3)) \setminus I$. Therefore, there exists some minimal generators $u_1,u_2,u_3 \in G(I)$ such that $u_j | x_jw$ for any $j \in [3]$. Note that u_1, u_2 and u_3 are distinct. Indeed, if we assume by contradiction that $u_1 = u_2$, since $u_1|x_1w$ and $u_1|x_2w$ it follows that $u_1|w$, which is absurd! Thus, $w \in (u_1,u_2,u_3)^{sat} \setminus (u_1,u_2,u_3)$ and therefore, by 1.5, $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/(u_1,u_2,u_3)) = 0$. $\square$

Theorem 2.4. *Let* $I \subset S := K[x_1,x_2,x_3]$ *be a monomial ideal with* $g(I) = 3$ *and* $c(I) = 3$. *Then* $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) = 2$.

Proof. By Proposition 1.3(2), we can assume that $I = I'$. If I is generated by powers of variables then, by [5, Theorem 1.3] or [7, Proposition 3.8], it follows that $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) = 2$. If this is not the case, we must consider several cases.

(1) If $\sqrt{I} = (x_1,x_2)$, then $I = (x_1^a, x_2^b, x_1^{a_1}x_2^{b_1}x_3^{c_1})$, where a,b,a_1,b_1,c_1 are some positive integers such that $a > a_1$ and $b > b_1$. By Lemma 1.2, we have:

$$I = ((x_1^{a_1})^c \cap I) \oplus x_1^{a_1}(I : x_1^{a_1}) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{a_1-1} x_1^jx_2^bK[x_2,x_3] \oplus x_1^{a_1}(x_1^{a-a_1}, x_2^b, x_2^{b_1}x_3^{c_1}).$$

We denote $J = (x_1^{a-a_1}, x_2^b, x_2^{b_1}x_3^{c_1})$. By Lemma 1.2, we have:

$$J = ((x_2^{b_1})^c \cap J) \oplus x_2^{b_1}(J : x_2^{b_1}) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{b_1-1} x_1^{a-a_1}x_2^jK[x_1,x_3] \oplus x_2^{b_1}(x_1^{a-a_1}, x_2^{b-b_1}, x_3^{c_1}).$$

By [5, Theorem 1.3] or [7, Proposition 3.8], $\operatorname{sdepth}((x_1^{a-a_1}, x_2^{b-b_1}, x_3^{c_1})) = 2$, and therefore, from the above decompositions, it follows that $\operatorname{sdepth}(J) = 2$ and thus $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) = 1$.

(2) If $\sqrt{I} = (x_1, x_2x_3)$, then $I = (x_1^{a_1}, x_2^{b_1}x_3^{c_1}, x_1^{a_2}x_2^{b_2}x_3^{c_2})$, where $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2$ are some positive integers such that $a_1 > a_2$ and $b_1 > b_2$ or $c_1 > c_2$. It is enough to consider the case $b_1 > b_2$. By Lemma 1.2,

$$I = ((x_2^{b_2})^c \cap I) \oplus x_2^{b_2}(I : x_2^{b_2}) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{b_2-1} x_1^{a_1}x_2^jK[x_1, x_3] \oplus x_2^{b_2}(x_1^{a_1}, x_2^{b_1-b_2}x_3^{c_1}, x_1^{a_2}x_3^{c_2}).$$

We denote $J = (x_1^{a_1}, x_2^{b_1-b_2}x_3^{c_1}, x_1^{a_2}x_3^{c_2})$. If $c_1 < c_2$, by Lemma 1.2, we have:

$$J = ((x_3^{c_1})^c \cap J) \oplus x_3^{c_1}(J : x_3^{c_1}) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{c_1-1} x_1^{a_1}x_3^jK[x_1, x_2] \oplus x_3^{c_1}(x_1^{a_1}, x_2^{b_1-b_2}, x_1^{a_2}x_3^{c_2-c_1}).$$

By (1), $\operatorname{sdepth}((x_1^{a_1}, x_2^{b_1-b_2}, x_1^{a_2}x_3^{c_2-c_1})) = 2$ and thus, from the above decompositions, it follows that $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) = 2$.

(3) If $\sqrt{I} = (x_1x_2, x_1x_3, x_2x_3)$, then $I = (x_1^{a_1}x_2^{b_1}, x_1^{a_2}x_3^{c_1}, x_2^{b_2}x_3^{c_2})$, where $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2$ are some positive integers. We may assume $a_1 \geq a_2$. By Lemma 1.2, it follows that

$$I = ((x_1^{a_2})^c \cap I) \oplus x_1^{a_2}(I : x_1^{a_2}) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{a_2-1} x_1^jx_2^{b_2}x_3^{c_2}K[x_2, x_3] \oplus x_1^{a_2}(x_1^{a_1-a_2}x_2^{b_1}, x_3^{c_1}, x_2^{b_2}x_3^{c_2}).$$

We denote $J = (x_1^{a_1-a_2}x_2^{b_1}, x_3^{c_1}, x_2^{b_2}x_3^{c_2})$. If $a_1 = a_2$, then $c(J) = 2$ and therefore $\operatorname{sdepth}(J) = 2$. Suppose $a_1 > a_2$. If $c_1 \leq c_2$ it follows that $g(J) = 2$ and therefore $\operatorname{sdepth}(J) = 2$. Otherwise, J is an ideal in the case (2) and thus $\operatorname{sdepth}(J) = 2$. From the above decomposition of I, it follows that $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) = 2$. □

Example 2.5. *Let* $I = (x_1^3, x_2^2x_3^2, x_1x_2^3x_3)$. *We have:*

$$I = ((x_2^2)^c \cap I) \oplus x_2^2(I : x_2^2) = x_1^3K[x_1, x_3] \oplus x_1^3x_2K[x_1, x_3] \oplus x_2^2(x_1^3, x_3^2, x_1x_2x_3),$$

On the other hand,

$$(x_1^3, x_3^2, x_1x_2x_3) = x_3^2K[x_2, x_3] \oplus x_1(x_1^2, x_3^2, x_2x_3) = x_3^2K[x_2, x_3] \oplus x_1^3K[x_1, x_2] \oplus x_1^2x_3(x_1^2, x_2, x_3).$$

We obtain the following Stanley decomposition of I*:*

$$I = x_1^3K[x_1, x_3] \oplus x_1^3x_2K[x_1, x_3] \oplus x_2^2x_3^2K[x_2, x_3] \oplus x_2^2x_1^3K[x_1, x_2] \oplus$$

$$\oplus x_1^2x_2^2x_3(x_2K[x_2, x_3] \oplus x_1x_2K[x_2, x_3] \oplus x_1^2K[x_1, x_3] \oplus x_3K[x_1, x_2] \oplus x_1^2x_2x_3K[x_1, x_2, x_3]).$$

Remark 2.6. The conclusion of the Theorem 2.4 is not true for $g(I) \geq 4$. Indeed, consider the ideal $I = (x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2, x_1x_2) \subset S$. We consider a Stanley decomposition of I, $\mathcal{D} : I = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r u_iK[Z_i]$. We assume that $\operatorname{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}) = 2$, and thus $|Z_i| \geq 2$ for all i. Since $x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2, x_1x_2$ are the minimal generators of I, we can assume that $u_i = x_i^2$ for $i \in [3]$ and $u_4 = x_1x_2$. If $\{x_2, x_3\} \subset Z_1$ it follows that $x_1 \notin Z_2$, otherwise $x_1^2x_2^2 \in x_1^2K[Z_1] \cap x_2^2K[Z_2]$. Similarly, $x_1 \notin Z_3$. Therefore, $Z_2 = Z_3 = \{x_2, x_3\}$. But in this case, $x_2^2x_3^2 \in x_2^2K[Z_2] \cap x_3^2K[Z_3]$, a contradiction.

Thus, we may assume $Z_1 = \{x_1, x_2\}$, $Z_2 = \{x_2, x_3\}$ and $Z_3 = \{x_3, x_1\}$. If $x_1 \in Z_4$ then $x_1^2x_1 \in x_1^2K[x_1, x_2] \cap x_1x_2K[Z_4]$, a contradiction. Thus $x_1 \notin Z_4$. Similarly, $x_2 \notin Z_4$ and therefore, $|Z_4| \leq 1$. This contradict $\operatorname{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}) = 2$. It follows that $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) = 1$.

An interesting problem, put by Jurgen Herzog, is to compute the Stanley depth of the powers of the maximal ideal $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. We consider the case $n = 3$ and $k \geq 2$. Let $I = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^k \subset K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$. Using the same argument as above, we can easily see that $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) = 1$.

Lemma 2.7. *Let* $I \subset S := K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ *be a monomial ideal such that* $I = I'$. *For* $j \in [3]$ *we denote* $I_j := I \cap S_j := K[Z_j]$, *where* $Z_j = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \setminus \{x_j\}$. *If* $I^{sat} = I$ *then there exists some* $j \in [3]$ *such that* $I_j^{sat} = I_j$.

Proof. If $I = S$ there is nothing to prove, so we can assume $I \neq S$. Since $I^{sat} = I$, it follows that $\mathbf{m} = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \notin Ass(S/I)$. Since $I = I'$, it follows that $(x_j) \notin Ass(S/I)$ for all $j \in [n]$. We denote $\mathbf{m}_j$ the irrelevant ideal of S_j. Therefore, $Ass(S/I) \subset \{\mathbf{m}_1, \mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_3\}$.

Thus, we can find a decomposition $I = \bigcap_{j=1}^3 Q_j$ such that Q_j is $\mathbf{m}_j$-primary or $Q_j = S$ for all $j \in [3]$. It follows that $I_k = \bigcap_{j=1}^3 (Q_j \cap S_k)$. We assume $Q_1 = (x_2^a, x_3^b, \ldots)$, $Q_2 = (x_1^c, x_3^d, \ldots)$ and $Q_3 = (x_1^e, x_2^f, \ldots)$ where a, b, c, d, e, f are some nonnegative integers. By reordering the variables, we can assume $a \geq f$. It follows that $Q_1 \cap S_3 = (x_2^a) \subset Q_3$. Therefore, $I_3 = (x_2^a) \cap (x_3^d) = (x_2^a x_3^d)$ is principal and moreover, $I_3 = I_3^{sat}$. $\square$

Proposition 2.8. *Let* $I \subset S := K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ *be a monomial ideal which is not principal. If* $I = I^{sat}$ *then* $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) = 2$.

Proof. Since $sdepth(I) = sdepth(I')$ and $I^{sat} = vI'^{sat}$, where $v = GCD(u|\ u \in G(I))$, we may assume $I = I'$. If $c(I) = 2$ or $g(I) = 2$ there is nothing to prove, and therefore, we may assume that $c(I) = 3$ and $g(I) \geq 3$. We use the notations from Lemma 2.7. By 2.7, we can assume that $I_1^{sat} = I_1$ and I_1 is principal. Thus $\operatorname{sdepth}(I_1) = 2$. We write $I = I_1 \oplus x_1(I : x_1)$. Obviously, $I \subsetneq (I : x_1)$ and $(I : x_1)^{sat} = (I : x_1)$. We can use the same procedure for $(I : x_1)$. We obtain a chain of ideals which must stop. Thus, we obtain a Stanley decomposition of I with its Stanley depth equal to 2. $\square$

Remark 2.9. The converse of 2.8 is not true, take for instance $\mathbf{m} = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \subset K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$. By Stanley's conjecture, it would be expected that any monomial ideal $I \subset S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ with $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) = 1$ has also $\operatorname{depth}(I) = 1$. But $\operatorname{depth}(I) = 1$ if and only if $\operatorname{depth}(S/I) = 0$, which is equivalent with $I^{sat} \neq I$. So, by 2.8, it follows that if $I \subset S := K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ has $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) = 1$ then $\operatorname{depth}(I) = 1$. Unfortunatelly, a similar result to Lemma 2.7 is not true for $n \geq 4$.

Let $S=K[x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4]$, $Q_1=(x_2^3,x_3^2,x_4)$, $Q_2=(x_1^3,x_3,x_4^2)$, $Q_3=(x_1^2,x_2,x_4^3)$, $Q_4=(x_1,x_2^2,x_3^3)$ and $I=Q_1\cap Q_2\cap Q_3\cap Q_4$. With similar notations as those of 2.7, one can easily see that $I_k=I\cap S_k=\bigcap_{j=1}^4(Q_j\cap S_k)$ is a reduced primary decomposition of I_k. In particular, $\mathbf{m}_k=\sqrt{Q_j\cap S_j}\in Ass(S_j/I_j)$ and thus $I_j^{sat}\neq I_j$.

References

[1] Sarfraz Ahmad, Dorin Popescu "Sequentially Cohen-Macaulay monomial ideals of embedding dimension four", Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumanie 50(98), no.2 (2007), p.99-110.

[2] Imran Anwar "Janet's algorithm", Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumanie 51(99), no.1 (2008), p.11-19.

[3] Imran Anwar, Dorin Popescu "Stanley Conjecture in small embedding dimension", Journal of Algebra 318 (2007), p.1027-1031.

[4] J.Apel "On a conjecture of R.P.Stanley", Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics, 17(2003), p.36-59.

[5] Mircea Cimpoeas "Stanley depth for monomial complete intersection", to appear in Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumanie 51(99), no.3 (2008), http://arxiv.org/pdf/0805.2306.

[6] Jürgen Herzog, Ali Soleyman Jahan, Siamak Yassemi "Stanley decompositions and partitionable simplicial complexes", Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics 27(2008), p.113-125.

[7] Jürgen Herzog, Marius Vladoiu, Xinxian Zheng "How to compute the Stanley depth of a monomial ideal", to appear in Journal of Algebra

[8] Ali Soleyman Jahan "Prime filtrations of monomial ideals and polarizations", Journal of Algebra 312 (2007), p.1011-1032.

[9] Sumiya Nasir "Stanley decompositions and localization", Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumanie 51(99), no.2 (2008), p.151-158.

[10] Asia Rauf "Stanley decompositions, pretty clean filtrations and reductions modulo regular elements", Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumanie 50(98), no.4 (2007), p.347-354.

[11] Yihuang Shen "Stanley depth of complete intersection monomial ideals and upper-discrete partitions", Preprint 2008, http://arxiv.org/pdf/0805.4461

Mircea Cimpoeas, Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy, Bucharest, Romania
E-mail: mircea.cimpoeas@imar.ro